arXiv:1212.1439v1 [math.RT] 6 Dec 2012

STRUCTURE OF THE UNRAMIFIED L-PACKET

MANISH MISHRA

ABSTRACT. Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an unramified connected reductive group defined over a non-archemedian local field k and let $\boldsymbol{T}$ be a maximal torus in $\boldsymbol{G}$. Let λ be an unramified character of $\boldsymbol{T}$. Then the conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups of $\boldsymbol{G}(k)$ is a principal homogenous space for a certain finite abelian group $\hat{\Omega}$. Also, the L-packet $\Pi(\varphi_\lambda)$ associated to λ is parametrized by an abelian group $\hat{R}$. We show that $\hat{R}$ is naturally a homogenous space for $\hat{\Omega}$. Further, let $\pi_\rho \in \Pi(\varphi_\lambda)$, where $\rho \in \hat{R}$ and let $[K]$ denote the conjugacy class of hyperspecial subgroup K. Then we show that $\pi_\rho^K \neq 0$ if and only if $\pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{K_\omega} \neq 0$ where $\omega \in \hat{\Omega}$ and K_ω is any hyperspecial subgroup in the conjugacy class $\omega \cdot [K]$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over a local field k. The local Langlands conjectures predict that the irreducible admissible representations of $\boldsymbol{G}(k)$ can be partitioned into finite sets, known as L-packets, in a certain natural way. Each packet is expected to be associated to what is known as a Langlands parameter for $\boldsymbol{G}$.

Now assume $\boldsymbol{G}$ is *unramified*, i.e., it admits *hyperspecial subgroups*. A representation of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is called unramified if it has a non-zero vector fixed under some hyperspecial subgroup of $\boldsymbol{G}(k)$. Unramified representations are of central importance in the Langlands program, as almost all local components of global representations are unramified. They were the first representations to be grouped into packets and associated with Langlands parameters. In this paper, we answer the question of how L-indistinguishability is related to the different choices of hyperspecial subgroups.

Let $\boldsymbol{T}$ be a maximal torus in $\boldsymbol{G}$ contained in a Borel subgroup $\boldsymbol{B}$, both defined over k. A character of $\boldsymbol{T}(k)$ is called unramified if it is trivial on the maximal compact subgroup $\boldsymbol{T}(k)_\circ$ of $\boldsymbol{T}(k)$. An unramified character λ of $\boldsymbol{T}(k)$ corresponds to a Langlands parameter φ_λ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ via the local Langlands correspondence for tori. The local Langlands conjectures stipulate what the L-packet $\boldsymbol{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$ associated to such a Langlands parameter φ_λ has to be. Namely, (see [1, 10.4]) $\boldsymbol{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$ should consist precisely of those subquotients of the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\boldsymbol{B}(k)}^{\boldsymbol{G}(k)}\lambda$, that are unramified. For a hyperspecial subgroup K of $\boldsymbol{G}(k)$, denote by $\tau_{K,\lambda}$ the unique subquotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\boldsymbol{B}(k)}^{\boldsymbol{G}(k)}\lambda$ having a K-fixed vector. It is well known that the choice of K determines a bijection between the group $\hat{R}_{\varphi_\lambda}$ of characters of a certain finite abelian group R_{φ_λ} and the elements of $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$, with the trivial character of R_{φ_λ} corresponding to $\tau_{K,\lambda}$. However, this does not tell us which character of R_{φ_λ} corresponds to which representation in $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$. This hitherto unexplored question on the finer internal structure of the L-packet is answered by my Theorem 1 (see Section 2) which, given a character ρ of R_{φ_λ}, specifies the various hyperspecial subgroups K' for which $\tau_{K',\lambda}$ corresponds to ρ.

Further, it has long been known that the various $\tau_{K,\lambda}$ as above are all the unramified representations of $\boldsymbol{G}$. This gets sharpened into a parametrization of the unramified representations of $\boldsymbol{G}$ when combined with my Corollary 2 to Theorem 1 (see Section 2), which spells out what the relation between pairs (K,λ) and (K',λ') as above has to be, for $\tau_{K,\lambda}$ to be isomorphic to $\tau_{K',\lambda'}$.

To put this result in perspective, let us briefly review some history of previous work related to this problem. When $\boldsymbol{G}$ is (in addition to being unramified) simply connected and almost simple and when λ is unitary and unramified, D. Keys showed that all irreducible constituents of the principal series representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\boldsymbol{B}(k)}^{\boldsymbol{G}(k)}\lambda$ are unramified [7, sec 4]. Without these extra conditions on $\boldsymbol{G}$, D. Keys and F. Shahidi specified a non-zero Whittaker functional afforded by $\tau_{K,\lambda}$ [6, Theorem 4.1].

A key idea required in my proof of Theorem 1 is that the Knapp-Stein R-group can be realized as the stabilizer, in a certain subgroup of the affine Weyl group, of a point x_λ in the Bruhat-Tits building of G. This idea develops over the work of M. Reeder [11]. Note that we are allowing λ to be non-unitary, so the principal series representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\boldsymbol{B}(k)}^{\boldsymbol{G}(k)}\lambda$ does not, in general decompose as a direct sum of irreducible representations. The construction of the L-packet as described in [12] is required.

Acknoledgement

I am indepted to my advisor Jiu-Kang Yu without whose guidance this work would not be possible. I would like to express my gratitude to Freydoon Shahidi for many helpful suggestions, particularly for section 6.5. I am also grateful to David Goldberg for his careful proof reading and many helpul suggestions. Finally, I am very thankful to Sandeep Varma for his help and support at various points of the development of this paper.

1. Notations

For an algebraic group $\boldsymbol{G}$ defined over a non archemedian local field k, we donote the k points $\boldsymbol{G}(k)$ by G. The complex dual of $\boldsymbol{G}$ is denoted by $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Let W_k denote the Weil group of k. Let $I = I_k$ be the inertia subgroup of W_k and let $\sigma = \sigma_k$ be the Frobenius element in W_k/I. If K is a compact subgroup of G, we denote the set of conjugates of K in G by $[K]$. If π is a representation of G, by the expression $\pi^{[K]} \neq 0$, we mean that there is a non-zero K-fixed vector in the space realizing π (consequently, for any conjugate $K^g \in [K]$, there is a non-zero K^g-fixed vector). Let $\boldsymbol{G_{ad}}$ denote the adjoint group of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and let $\boldsymbol{T_{ad}}$ denote the image of $\boldsymbol{T}$ in $\boldsymbol{G_{ad}}$.

2. Statement of the theorems

Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be an unramified, connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over a p-adic local field k. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ denote a maximal split k-torus in $\boldsymbol{G}$ and let $\boldsymbol{T} = Z_{\boldsymbol{G}}\boldsymbol{A}$, the centralizer of $\boldsymbol{A}$ in $\boldsymbol{T}$. Then $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a maximal torus in $\boldsymbol{G}$ since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is quasi-split. Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be a Borel subgroup containing $\boldsymbol{T}$. Let $\Psi = \big(X, \Phi, \Delta, \check{X}, \check{\Phi}, \check{\Delta}\big)$ be the based root datum of ($\boldsymbol{G}$,$\boldsymbol{B}$,$\boldsymbol{T}$). The Frobenius element σ induces an automorphism of Ψ which we again denote by σ. Now, the set of conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups of G is a principal homogenous space for the finite abelian group $\hat{\Omega} := \operatorname{coker}(\check{X}^{\sigma} \to \check{X}_{ad}^{\sigma})$, where $\check{X}_{ad} := \check{X}(\boldsymbol{T}_{ad})$. The action is defined as follows: (see [14] for details) the surjection $\check{X}(\boldsymbol{T}_{ad})^{\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{R} \twoheadrightarrow \check{X}(\boldsymbol{A}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ gives an action of $\check{X}_{ad}^{\sigma}$ on the apartment $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{A})$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{A}) | x \text{ is hyperspecial}\}$. Sine $\boldsymbol{G}$ is unramified, we may and do assume that the hyperspecial subgroups of G are the stabilizers G_x of hyperspecial points x. For $t \in \check{X}_{ad}^{\sigma}$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$, define $t \cdot [G_x] := [G_{t\cdot x}]$. Then this action is well defined and it makes the conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups, a principal homogenous space for $\hat{\Omega}$.

Let λ be an unramified character of T. To this character, one can associate a Langlands parameter $\varphi = \varphi_\lambda$. Denote by $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$ the L-packet assicated to φ_λ. Let $S_\varphi = Z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}}\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$, the centralizer in $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$ of the image of φ and let S_φ° be its connected component containing the identity. Let $\hat{Z} = Z(\hat{\boldsymbol{G}})$ the center of the dual group. Let $R_\varphi := S_\varphi / S_\varphi^\circ \hat{Z}^\sigma$ be the R group defined by Langlands. This group turns out to be abelian. The elments of the L-packet $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi)$ are parametrized by $\hat{R}_\varphi$, the group of characters of R_φ. This parametrization is not canonical, however. It depends on the choice of a nilpotent orbit. We fix a parametrization and denote the representation corresponding to a character $\rho \in \hat{R}_\varphi$ under this parametrization by π_ρ. The main result is

Theorem 1. *There exits a canonical surjection* $\zeta\colon \hat{\Omega} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}_\varphi$ *and*

$$\pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K]} \neq 0 \iff \pi_\rho^{[K]} \neq 0$$

for all $\omega \in \hat{\Omega}$, $\rho \in \hat{R}_\varphi$ *where* K *is a hyperspecial subgroup of* G. *Here* $\omega\cdot\rho := \rho + \zeta(\omega)$.

For a hyperspecial subgroup K, a representation of G is called K*-spherical* if it is smooth, irreducible, admissible and contains a non-zero vector invariant under K. Write $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{TU}$ where $\boldsymbol{U}$ is the unipotent radical of $\boldsymbol{B}$. K-spherical representations have the following explicit description:

Define the complex valued function $\mathit{\Lambda}_{K,\lambda} \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathit{\Lambda}_{K,\lambda}(tuk) = \lambda(t)\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t),$$

for $t \in T$, $u \in U$ and $k \in K$. Here δ is the modulus function. Put

$$\Gamma_{K,\lambda}(g) = \int_K \mathit{\Lambda}_{K,\lambda}(kg)dk$$

for $g \in G$. Denote by $V_{[K],\lambda}$ the space of functions f on G of the form

$$f(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \Gamma_{K,\lambda}(gg_i)$$

for $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ in G. We let G operate on $V_{[K],\lambda}$ by right translations, namely

$$(\pi_{[K],\lambda}(g)f)(g_1) = f(g_1 g)$$

for f in $V_{K,\lambda}$ and g,g_1 in G. Then it is well known that the representation $(\pi_{[K],\lambda}, V_{[K],\lambda})$ is K-spherical and any K-spherical representation is isomorphic to $(\pi_{[K],\lambda}, V_{[K],\lambda})$ for some unramified character λ (see [3, sec. 4.4]).

For different choices of K, the following corollary of theorem 1 describes the condition for the representations $(\pi_{[K],\lambda}, V_{[K],\lambda})$ to be isomorphic.

Corollary 2. $(\pi_{\omega_1\cdot[K],\lambda}, V_{\omega_1\cdot[K],\lambda}) \cong (\pi_{\omega_2\cdot[K],\lambda}, V_{\omega_2\cdot[K],\lambda})$ *if and only if* $\zeta(\omega_1) = \zeta(w_2)$.

Let $\tilde{\rho}$ denote any element of $\zeta^{-1}(\rho)$ for $\rho \in \hat{R}_\varphi$. Theorem 1 and corollary 2 immediately imply the following explicit description of the elements of the L-packet $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$.

Corollary 3. *The elements of the L-packet* $\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda)$ *are* $\{(\pi_{\tilde{\rho}\cdot[K],\lambda}, V_{\tilde{\rho}\cdot[K],\lambda}) \,|\, \rho \in \hat{R}_\varphi\}$.

3. The group Ω

Let $\Psi = (X, \Phi, \Delta, \check{X}, \check{\Phi}, \check{\Delta})$ be a based root datum as defined in [13, 1.9]. Let $W = W(\Psi)$ be the Weyl group. Let $\{\alpha, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$ be the set of simple roots Δ. Let $\Phi_{\text{aff}} = \{a + n | a \in \Phi$, n is an integer if $\frac{1}{2}a \notin \Phi$ (resp. any odd integer if $\frac{1}{2} \in \Phi)\}$. Then Φ_{aff} is an affine root system in the real vector space $V = V(\Psi) := \mathbb{R} \bigotimes \check{X}$ (see [10, Theorem 1.2.1]). The Weyl group W acts on V as the group of reflections genrerated by $r_1, \ldots, r_l$ where r_i fixes the hyperplace $\{x \in V | \alpha_i(x) = 0)\}$. The basis Δ determines a particular alcove C in V as follows: let $\tilde{\alpha_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i \alpha_i$ be the highest root with respect to Δ. Let α_0 be the affine linear function $1 - \tilde{\alpha}_0$ on V and set $a_0 = 1$, so that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i \alpha_i \equiv 1.$$

Then the alcove determined by Δ is the intersection of the half spaces:

$$C = \{x \in V | \alpha_i(x) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

The group $\tilde{W} = W \ltimes \check{X}$ is called the *extended affine Weyl group*. It contains the *affine Weyl group* $\tilde{W}^\circ = W \ltimes \mathbb{Z}\check{\Phi}$ as a normal subgroup. $\tilde{W}$ acts transitively on the set of alcoves in V. Let

$$\Omega = \Omega(\Psi) := \left\{\rho \in \tilde{W} | \rho \cdot C = C\right\}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{W} = \Omega \ltimes \tilde{W}^\circ$$

and therefore $\Omega \cong \tilde{W}/\tilde{W}^\circ \cong \check{X}/\mathbb{Z}\check{\Phi}$.

4. R-GROUPS AND INTERTWINIG OPERATORS

Let the notations be as in section 2. Let $\boldsymbol{U}$ denote the unipotent redical of $\boldsymbol{B}$. Then $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{U}$. The character λ of $\boldsymbol{T}$ may be extended trivially across $\boldsymbol{U}$ to define a character of $\boldsymbol{B}$. The induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\boldsymbol{G}}\lambda$ is called a principal series representation of $\boldsymbol{G}$. We will abbreviate it by $I(\lambda)$. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a maximal split torus in $\boldsymbol{T}$. Let $\boldsymbol{N} := N_{\boldsymbol{G}}\boldsymbol{A}$ and let W be the relative Weyl group of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Then $W \cong \boldsymbol{N}/\boldsymbol{T}$. Denote by $\bar{w}$, a representative of an element w of W. Let $\bar{\boldsymbol{B}} = \boldsymbol{T}\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}$ be the Borel subgroup opposite to $\boldsymbol{B}$. Define the usual intertwining operators :

$$\boldsymbol{A}(w, \lambda) \colon I(\lambda) \to I(w\lambda),$$
$$(\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{w}, \lambda)f)(g) = \int_{\boldsymbol{U} \cap w\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}w^{-1}} f(gu\bar{w})du.$$

These operators converge in an appropriate domain and they may be analytically continued so that they are defined for all unitary λ [8, Sec. 2]. Further, they satisfy the co-cycle relation

$$\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2, \lambda) = \boldsymbol{A}(\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2\lambda)\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{w}_2, \lambda), \tag{4.1}$$

provided

$$\mathrm{length}(w_1w_2) = \mathrm{length}(w_1) + \mathrm{length}(w_2). \tag{4.2}$$

Define the normalized intertwining operators

$$\mathscr{A}(\bar{w}, \lambda) := \frac{1}{c_w(\lambda)}\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{w}, \lambda) \tag{4.3}$$

where $c_w(\lambda)$ is the Harish-Chandra c-function. Then with appropriate choices of the coset representatives $\bar{w}$ of the Weyl group elements, the co-cycle relation

$$\mathscr{A}(\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2, \lambda) = \mathscr{A}(\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2\lambda)\mathscr{A}(\bar{w}_2, \lambda) \tag{4.4}$$

holds without any condition on the lengths of w_1 and w_2.

Let Φ_{rel} be the relative roots. Then W is the Weyl group associated to Φ_{rel}. For $\alpha \in \Phi_{rel}$ let s_α denote the reflection associated to α. Define

$$\Delta' = \{\alpha \in \Phi_{rel} | \mathscr{A}(w, \lambda) \text{ is scalar}\}$$

and let W' be the reflection group $< s_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta' >$. Let

$$W_\lambda = \{w \in W | \ w\lambda = \lambda\}.$$

Define

$$R = R(\boldsymbol{G}, \lambda) = \{w \in W' | \alpha \in \Delta' \text{ and } \alpha > 0 \text{ imply } w\alpha > 0\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then

$$W_\lambda = W' \rtimes R. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from [5, Thm. 2.4] and [8],

Theorem 4. *Suppose λ is an unramified unitary character of $\boldsymbol{T}$. Then*

1. *The commuting algebra End$(I(\lambda))$ of $I(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[R]$.*
2. *R is abelian.*
3. *$I(\lambda)$ decomposes with multiplicity one.*
4. *The irreducible components of $I(\lambda)$* are parametrized by the characters of R.

Let $I(\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{R}} \pi_\rho$ be a decomposition of the pricipal series, where $\hat{R}$ is the group of characters of R. This parametrization is not unique. It depends on the choice of normalizations of intertwining operators giving $\mathrm{End}(I(\lambda)) \cong \mathbb{C}[R]$. But any two normalizations must differ by a one dimentional character ρ' of R, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{A}'(r, \lambda) = \rho'(r)\mathscr{A}(r, \lambda).$$

Then if π corresponds to the character ρ in the first normalization, then it corresponds to the character $\rho + \rho'$ in the second normalization.

5. Image of an unramified character under LLC

Let $\boldsymbol{T}$ be a torus defined over k. Assume that $\boldsymbol{T}$ splits over an unramifed extension k' of k. A character χ of T is said to be unramified if it is trivial on the maximal compact subgroup $T_\circ$ of T. Given $t \in \boldsymbol{T}(k')$, let $\nu(t) \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^*(\boldsymbol{T}), \mathbb{Z})$ be defined by $\nu(t)(m) = \mathrm{ord}(m(t))$. Then the map $t \mapsto \nu(t)$ induces an isomorphism (see [1, Sec 9.5]):

$$\begin{aligned} T/T_\circ &\cong X_*(\boldsymbol{T})^\sigma \\ &= X^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{T}})^\sigma \\ &\cong X^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}_\sigma$ denotes the co-invariant of $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ with respect to σ. From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(X^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}_\sigma), \mathbb{C}^\times) \\ &\cong \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}_\sigma \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Thus to an unramified character χ of T, we can associate the σ-conjugacy class of a semisimple element s_χ of $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$. In fact, this is the image of χ under the Local Langlands correspondence for tori,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times) \arrow[r, "LLC", "\sim"'] & H^1(W_k, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}) \\
\mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times) \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}_\sigma \cong H^1(W_k/I, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}) \arrow[u, hook, "infl"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Also, the orbits of the relative Weyl group W in $H^1(\sigma, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}})$ are in bijection with the σ-conjugacy classes in $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$ (see [1, Lemma 6.4 and 6.5]), i.e.,

$$H^1(W_k/I, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}})/W \cong (\hat{\boldsymbol{G}} \rtimes \sigma)_{ss}/\mathrm{Inn}(\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}).$$

Thus, to an unramified character χ of T, one can naturaly associate a σ-conjugacy class $\bar{s}_\chi$ of a semisimple element $s_\chi \in \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$.

6. Proof of the main theorem

Assume λ to be unitary. Let K be a hyperspecial subgroup of G. Let $f_K \in I(\lambda)^K$ be such that $f_K(1) = 1$. Let $\rho_{\lambda,[K]}$ be the character of R defined by $\mathscr{A}(r, \lambda) f_K = \rho_{\lambda,[K]}(r) f_K$. Then

Lemma 5. $\pi_\rho^{[K]} \neq 0 \iff \rho_{\lambda,[K]} = \rho$.

Proof. The operators

$$\mathcal{P}_\rho = \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{r \in R} \rho(r)^{-1} \mathscr{A}(r, \lambda) \tag{6.1}$$

are $|\hat{R}|$ orthogonal projections onto the invariant subspaces of $I(\lambda)$. Let $U_\rho = \mathcal{P}_\rho U$ where U is the space of $I(\lambda)$. Then

$$U = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{R}} U_\rho .$$

Since

$$\mathscr{A}\left(r, \lambda\right) f_K = \rho_{\lambda,[K]}\left(r\right) f_K .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\rho f_K &= \frac{1}{|R|}\left(\sum \rho\left(r\right)^{-1} \rho_{\lambda,[K]}\left(r\right)\right) f_K \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \rho_{\lambda,[K]} \neq \rho \\ f_K & \rho_{\lambda,[K]} = \rho, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $U_\rho^K \neq 0 \iff \rho_{\lambda,[K]} = \rho$. ☐

Thus, to understand which representation in the L-packet $\Pi(\varphi_\lambda)$ is spherical for which hyperspecial conjugacy class, we need to understand how the charactes $\rho_{\lambda,[K]}$ relate to each other for different choices of conjugacy classes $[K]$. To give the main ideas of the proof quickly, we first prove our theorem for the simplest case when $\boldsymbol{G}$ is semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and split and when λ is unitary. We continue to assume λ to be unitary until the end of section 6.4.

6.1. **G simple, simply connected and split.**

Assume $\boldsymbol{G}$ to be unramified, semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and split and λ to be unitary. The local Langlands correspondence for tori induces an isomorphism,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}.$$

The image of the unramified unitary characters under this isomorphism is the subtorus $\hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. Now $\hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{R}/X$. Therefore $\hat{\boldsymbol{U}}/W \cong X \otimes \mathbb{R}/W \ltimes X = V(\check{\Psi})/\tilde{W}$. Thus, the LLC induces a natural ismorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{S}^1)/W \cong V(\check{\Psi})/\tilde{W}$. Here $\mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, to a unitary unramified character λ, we can naturally associate a semisimple element $s = s_\lambda \in \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ which corresponds to a point $x = x_\lambda$ in the closure of the alcove $C(\check{\Psi})$. We'll denote $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ by Ω whenever there is no ambiguity. Let Ω_x denote the stabilizer of x in Ω.

Proposition 6. *There is a natural isomorphism $\Omega_x \cong R$.*

Proof. By [11, Prop 2.1], $\Omega_x \cong \pi_0\left(Z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}} s\right)$, the connected component group of the centralizer of the semi-simple element s. By [5, Proposition 2.6], $\pi_0\left(Z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}} s\right) \cong R$. Thus, $\Omega_x \cong R$. Infact, it follows from the proofs of [11, Prop 2.1] & [5, Prop. 2.6] that isomorphism is induced by the natural projection $\tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$. We defer these details until prop. 8, where we prove our statement for more general groups. $\square$

Let $\check{P}$ be the co-weight lattice of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Then the set of conjugacy classes of hyperspecial points of G form a principal homogenous space for the group $\check{P}/\check{X}$. This group is in duality with the group $\Omega \cong X/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$. Denote by $(,) : (\check{P}/\check{X}) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the pairing between them. Using the last proposition, we can realize R as a subgroup of Ω by the natural embedding $R \cong \Omega_x \subset \Omega$. For $r \in R$, and $\omega \in \check{P}/\check{X}$, let $\rho_\omega(r) = e^{-2\pi i(\omega,r)}$. Then we have a natural surjection $\hat{\Omega} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}$ given by $\omega \mapsto \rho_\omega$. Let K_0 be a hyperspecial subgroup satisfying $\pi_0^{[K_0]} \neq 0$. Pick $K_\omega \in \omega \cdot [K_0]$ and let $f_\omega \in I(\lambda)^{K_\omega}$ be the spherical vector such that $f_\omega(1) = 1$.

Proposition 7. $\mathscr{A}(r,\lambda)f_\omega = \rho_\omega(r)f_\omega$.

Proof. By [8, Section 4 lemma], one can do a case by case computation to show this. The calculations are shown in the section 7. $\square$

From the surjection $\hat{\Omega} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}$, we get a natural action of $\hat{\Omega}$ on $\hat{R}$, namely, for $\rho \in \hat{R}$ and $\omega \in \hat{\Omega}$, we let $\omega \cdot \rho = \rho + \rho_\omega$. Let K be a hyperspecial subgroup. Let $\omega' \in \hat{\Omega}$ be such that $\omega' \cdot [K_0] = [K]$. Then from lemma 5, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K]} \neq 0 \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \omega \cdot \rho = \rho_{\omega'+\omega} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \rho = \rho_{\omega'} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \pi_\rho^{\omega'\cdot[K_0]} \neq 0 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \pi_\rho^{[K]} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

6.2. **Geometric description of R group in the unramified case.** Let the notations be as in section 2. We define a new 6-touple:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= (X_\sigma)/torsion \\ \check{Y} &= (\check{X})^\sigma \\ S &= \{a|_{\check{Y}} \colon a \in \Phi\} \\ \check{S} &= \{\check{\alpha} \colon \alpha \in S\} \\ E &= \{a|_{\check{Y}} \colon a \in \Delta\} \\ \check{E} &= \{\check{\alpha} \colon \alpha \in E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by [15], the 6-touple ${}^\sigma\Psi := (Y, S, E, \check{Y}, \check{S}, \check{E})$ is a based root datum. S is the set of relative roots of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Let $V^\sigma = V({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$ and let $\tilde{W} = W \ltimes Y$. By equation 5.1, we have $\mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \hat{T}_\sigma$. Under this isomorphism, the unramified unitary characters will correspond to the σ-conjugacy classes of the compact subtorus $\hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{S}^1$ of $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \cong X \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} &\cong X \otimes (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong X \otimes \mathbb{R}/X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}_\sigma/W &\cong Y \otimes \mathbb{R}/W \ltimes Y \\ &\cong V^\sigma/\tilde{W}. \end{aligned}$$

By equation 5.1, it follows that the class of unitary unramified characters in $\mathrm{Hom}(T/T_\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times)/W$ are in one to one correspondence with the points of $V^\sigma/\tilde{W}$. To the W orbit of λ we can therefore associate a point $x = x_\lambda$ in the closure of the alcove $C_\sigma = C({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$ of V^σ.

Let $\Omega_\sigma := \Omega({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$. If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is an unramified algebraic group with root datum Ψ and Frobenius automorphism σ, we will also denote $\Omega({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$ by Ω_G.

We have $\Omega_\sigma \cong Y/\mathbb{Z}E$. Let $\Omega_{\sigma,x}$ be the stabilizer of x in Ω_σ.

Proposition 8. *The natural projection* $\tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$ *induces an isomorphism* $\Omega_{\sigma,x} \cong R$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{W}_x = \{w \in \tilde{W} | w \cdot x = x\}$ be the stabilizer of x in $\tilde{W}$. This group is finite and its normal subgroup $\tilde{W}_x^\circ$, generated by reflections about the hyperplanes through x, acts simply transitively on the set of alcoves containing x in their closure. It follows that

$$\tilde{W}_x = \Omega_x \ltimes \tilde{W}_x^\circ$$

and therefore

$$\Omega_{\sigma,x} \cong \tilde{W}_x/\tilde{W}_x^\circ. \tag{6.2}$$

Let $\pi\colon \tilde{W} \to W$ be the projection map. Let $\delta = s \rtimes \sigma$. Let $\hat{N} = N_{\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ be the normalizer of $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ in $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Let $S_\delta = Z_{\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}}\delta$. Let $\hat{Z} = Z(\hat{\boldsymbol{G}})$ be the center of $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Then $W = \hat{N}^\sigma/\hat{T}^\sigma$ [15, Proposition]. Then the proof in [11, lemma 3.9] shows :

$$\tilde{W}_x \cong \hat{\boldsymbol{N}} \cap S_\delta/\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^\sigma, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\tilde{W}_x^\circ \cong \hat{\boldsymbol{N}} \cap S_\delta^\circ/(\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^\sigma)^\circ. \tag{6.4}$$

We will include the proofs of 6.3 and 6.4 here for the sake of completeness. Let $W_\delta := \hat{N} \cap S_\delta/\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^\sigma = \hat{N}^\delta/\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^\sigma$. Then W_δ is a subgroup of W. If $w \in W$ is the projection of an element of $\tilde{W}_x$ then $w \cdot x - x \in Y$. Let f be the order of σ and let

$$P_\sigma = f^{-1}(1 + \sigma + \ldots + \sigma^{f-1}) \in \mathrm{End}(V)$$

be the projection onto V^σ. Here $V = V(\check{\Psi})$ as before. Then $Y \cong P_\sigma X$ and

$$[(1-\sigma)V + X] \cap V^\sigma = Y. \tag{6.5}$$

[11, Lemma 3.4 (17)]. There exists therefore, $v \in V$ and $y \in X$ such that

$$w \cdot x - x = (\sigma - 1)v + y.$$

Setting $s = \exp(x)$, $t = \exp(v)$, we have

$$w(s) = t^{-1}\sigma(t)s.$$

By [15, Proposition], we may choose $\dot{w} \in \hat{N}^\sigma$ such that $w = \dot{w}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$. Then the element $n = t\dot{w}$ belongs to $\hat{N}^\sigma$ and $n\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} = w$. Thus the projection maps $\tilde{W}_x$ into W_δ. The argument is reversible showing that $\pi(\tilde{W}_x) = W_\delta$. Finally, since the kernel of π is torsion free and $\tilde{W}_x$ is finite, the map is also injective, completing the proof of 6.3.

Let $W_\delta^\circ = \pi(\tilde{W}_x^\circ)$. Let A_f be the set of affine roots vanishing on the hyperplanes passing through x and let A_f^v be their vector parts. Then W_δ° is the subgroup of W_δ generated by the roots A_f^v and $W_\delta^\circ = N \cap S_\delta^\circ/(\hat{T}^\sigma)^\circ$ is the Weyl group $W(S_\delta^\circ, (\hat{T}^\sigma)^\circ)$ [2, 3.5].

Let $R_\lambda = S_\delta/S_\delta^\circ \hat{Z}^\sigma$. Then Proposition 2.6 in [5] states that there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \hat{N} \cap S_\delta^\circ/(\hat{T}^\sigma)^\circ \to \hat{N} \cap S_\delta/\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^\sigma \to R_\lambda \to 1 \tag{6.6}$$

and $R \cong R_\lambda$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R &\cong (\hat{N} \cap S_\delta/\hat{\boldsymbol{T}^\sigma})/(\hat{N} \cap S_\delta^\circ/(\hat{T}^\sigma)^\circ) \\ &\cong \tilde{W}_x/\tilde{W}_x^\circ \\ &\cong \Omega_{\sigma,x}. \end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows from equation 6.2. This completes the proof of the Proposition. □

Lemma 9. *$\widehat{\Omega_\sigma^{tor}} = coker(\check{X}^\sigma \to \check{X}_{ad}^\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let L denote the lattice $\mathbb{Z}E$. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to Y \to Y/L \to 0.$$

Applying the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z})$ to this, we get

$$\check{Y} \to \check{L} \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(Y/L, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0.$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^1(Y/L, \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \check{L}/\mathrm{im}(\check{Y}) && (6.7) \\ &\cong \check{X}_{ad}^\sigma/\mathrm{im}(\check{X}^\sigma). && (6.8) \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Let A be an abelian group. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(A,-)$, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Hom}(A,\mathbb{Q})) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(A,\mathbb{Z}).$$

When A is finitely generated, we also have that

$$0 \to \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Hom}(A,\mathbb{Q})) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A^{tor},\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to 0.$$

Here A^{tor} denotes the torsion part of A. From the last sequence, we get that

$$\widehat{A^{tor}} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(A,\mathbb{Z}). \tag{6.9}$$

Putting $A = Y/L$ and using equations 6.8 and 6.9, we get

$$\widehat{(Y/L)^{tor}} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(Y/L,\mathbb{Z}) \tag{6.10}$$

$$\cong \check{X}^{\sigma}_{ad}/\mathrm{im}(\check{X}^{\sigma}). \tag{6.11}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

6.3. **G semisimple simply connected and unramified.** Assume $\boldsymbol{G}$ to be unramified, semisimple and simply connected. Then we can write $\boldsymbol{G}$ as the direct product

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \boldsymbol{H}_1 \times \ldots \times \boldsymbol{H}_n$$

where $\boldsymbol{H}_i$ are semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and unramified. Thus, it suffices to prove the result when $\boldsymbol{G}$ is unramified, semisimple, almost simple, simply connected.

Let $\check{P}$ be the co-weight lattice of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Then the set of conjugacy classes of hyperspecial points of G form a principal homogenous space for the group $\check{P}^{\sigma}/\check{X}^{\sigma}$. By lemma 9, this group is dual to the group $\Omega_{\sigma} \cong Y/\mathbb{Z}E$ (notations as in 6.2). Denote by $(,) : (\check{P}^{\sigma}/\check{X}^{\sigma}) \times \Omega_{\sigma} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the pairing between them. By prop. 8 $R \cong \Omega_{\sigma,x}$. So we can realize R as a subgroup of Ω_{σ}. Thus we have a pairing $(,) : (\check{P}^{\sigma}/\check{X}^{\sigma}) \times R \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. For $r \in R$, and $\omega \in \check{P}^{\sigma}/\check{X}^{\sigma}$, let $\rho_{\omega}(r) = e^{-2\pi i(\omega,r)}$. Then we have a natural surjection $\hat{\Omega}_{\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}$ given by $\omega \mapsto \rho_{\omega}$. Denote by $[K_0]$ the conjugacy class of a hyperspecial subgroup K_0 satisfying $\pi_0^{[K_0]} \neq 0$. Pick $K_{\omega} \in \omega \cdot [K_0]$ and let $f_{\omega} \in I(\lambda)^{K_{\omega}}$ be the spherical vector such that $f_{\omega}(1) = 1$.

Proposition 10. $\mathscr{A}(r,\lambda)f_{\omega} = \rho_{\omega}(r)f_{\omega}$.

Proof. The proof again is computation like in the split case. It is worked out in section 7. □

Then from the lemma 5, we get that $\pi_{\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K_0]} \neq 0$ iff $\rho = \rho_{\omega}$. The rest of the proof of the theorem in this case is now identical to the split case.

6.4. **G reductive and unramified.** Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}} = \boldsymbol{Z}^\circ \times (\boldsymbol{G}^{der})^{sc}$ where $\boldsymbol{G}^{der}$ is the derived group of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $(\boldsymbol{G}^{der})^{sc}$ is its simply connected cover. There is an isogeny $\varsigma : \tilde{\boldsymbol{G}} \twoheadrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$. Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} = \boldsymbol{Z}^\circ \times (\boldsymbol{T}^{der})^{sc}$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the pull back of the character λ of T to $\tilde{T}$. If $f \in I(\lambda)$, then $f \circ (\varsigma|_{\tilde{G}})$ is a map $\tilde{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ and it satisfies the principal series condition. Thus, ς induces

$$\varrho : I(\lambda) \to I(\tilde{\lambda})$$

a $\tilde{G}$ map of the principal series representations.

Lemma 11. *ϱ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\bar{f}$ (resp $\bar{g}$) denote the image of an element $f \in I(\tilde{\lambda})$ (resp $g \in \tilde{G}$) under ϱ (resp ς). Let W be a represention of $\tilde{G}$ such that

$$I(\tilde{\lambda}) = \overline{I(\lambda)} \oplus W.$$

There exists a hyperspecial subgroup $\tilde{K}$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $W^{\tilde{K}} \neq 0$. Let K be a hyperspecial subgroup of G such that $K \supset \bar{\tilde{K}}$. Then $I(\lambda)^K \neq 0$ implies $\overline{I(\lambda)}^{\tilde{K}} \neq 0$. But dim $I(\tilde{\lambda})^{\tilde{K}} = 1$. This implies $W = 0$ and therefore the claim. □

Lemma 12. *There is a natural inclusion*

$$\Omega^{tor}_{G} \hookrightarrow \Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}'}.$$

Proof. Let the notations be as in section 6.2. Let $X_\circ$ (respectively $Y_\circ$) be the subgroup of X (respectively Y) orthogonal to ϕ (respectively S). Then $Y/Y_\circ \cong (X/X_\circ)_\sigma/torsion$. We have

$$\Omega^{tor}_{G} \cong (Y/\mathbb{Z}E)^{tor}$$

and

$$\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}'} \cong ((Y/Y_\circ)/\mathbb{Z}E)^{tor} \cong Y/(Y_\circ + \mathbb{Z}E).$$

[13, 2.15 (a)]. The surjection $Y/\mathbb{Z}E \twoheadrightarrow (Y/Y_\circ)/\mathbb{Z}E$ induces a map $\Omega^{tor}_{G} \to \Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}'}$. Let $\chi \in (Y_\circ + \mathbb{Z}E)$ such that $n\chi \in \mathbb{Z}E$. Write $\chi = \chi_\circ + q$ where $\chi_\circ \in Y_\circ$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}E$. Then $n\chi \in \mathbb{Z}E$ implies $n\chi_\circ \in Y_\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}E = 0$ [13, lemma 1.2]. This shows that the map is injective. □

Since $\Omega^{tor}_{G^{der}} \hookrightarrow \Omega^{tor}_{(G^{der})^{sc}}$, it follows that there is an inclusion

$$\Omega^{tor}_{G} \hookrightarrow \Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}}.$$

We then have

(6.12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^{tor}_{G} \arrow[r, hook] & \Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}} \\
\Omega^{tor}_{G,x} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[u, hook] & \Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G},x}. \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that

(6.13)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}}} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d] & \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{G}} \arrow[d] \\
\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G},x}} \arrow[r, two heads] & \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{G,x}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By lemma 9, the conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups of G (resp $\tilde{G}$) is a principal homogenous space for $\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{G}}$ (resp $\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}}}$). Let $\tilde{R} = R(\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Then by proposition 8, $\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G},x} \cong \tilde{R}$ and $\Omega^{tor}_{G,x} \cong R$. (note that $\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G},x} = \Omega_{\tilde{G},x}$ and $\Omega^{tor}_{G,x} = \Omega_{G,x}$) Let $\mu \mapsto \rho$ under $\hat{\tilde{R}} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{R}$ and $\tilde{\omega} \mapsto \omega$ under $\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{\tilde{G}}} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_{G}}$. Then from the diagram 6.13, it follows that $\tilde{\omega} \cdot \mu \mapsto \omega \cdot \rho$. We have the diagram

(6.14)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
I(\lambda) \arrow[r, two heads] & I(\tilde{\lambda}) \\
\pi_{\rho} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, hook] & \bigoplus\limits_{\mu \mapsto \rho} \tau_{\mu}. \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let π_ρ be an irreducible component of $I(\lambda)$. Then it follows from [8, Sec 4, Theorem], that there exits a hyperspecial subgroup $\tilde{K}$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $\bar{\pi}_{\rho}^{\tilde{K}} \neq 0$. Let K be a hyperspecial subgroup of G such that $K \supset \bar{\tilde{K}}$. Choose $0 \neq f \in I(\lambda)^K$ such that $f(1) = 1$. Then $0 \neq \bar{f} \in \bar{\pi}_{\rho}^{\tilde{K}}$ This implies $f \in \pi_\rho$ and therefore $\pi_{\rho}^{K} \neq 0$. Thus, $\pi_{\rho}^{[K]} \neq 0$ iff $\bar{\pi}_{\rho}^{[\tilde{K}]} \neq 0$. Therefore $\pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K]} \neq 0$ iff $\bar{\pi}_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\tilde{\omega}\cdot[\tilde{K}]} \neq 0$. Also, from the statement of our theorem proved for the semisimple, simply connected and unramified groups, it follows that $\bar{\pi}_{\tilde{\omega}\cdot\rho}^{\tilde{\omega}\cdot[\tilde{K}]} \neq 0$ iff $\bar{\pi}_{\rho}^{[\tilde{K}]} \neq 0$. Thus we have shown $\pi_{\rho}^{[K]} \neq 0$ iff $\pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K]} \neq 0$.

6.5. **Non-unitary case.**

6.5.1. *Construction of the L-packet.* The following construction is given in [12].

Let $\varphi = \varphi_\lambda$ be the Langlands parameter attached to the character λ. The parameter φ determines a commuting pair $\varphi_\circ$ and φ_+ such that $\varphi_\circ$ is tempered and

$$\varphi(w) = \varphi_\circ(w)\varphi_+(w)$$

for all $w \in W'_k$ where W'_k is the Weil-Deligne group.

Let $\mu\colon T \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character attached to $\varphi_\circ$ by Langlands. Similarly let $\nu\colon T \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character attached to φ_+. Let π be a uniformizer in k. The simple roots (notations as in section 6.2)

$$E' = \{\alpha \in E\colon |\nu(\check{\alpha}(\pi)| = 1\}$$

generate a Levi subgroup $\boldsymbol{M}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ whose dual group $\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}$ contains $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ in $\hat{\boldsymbol{G}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{N}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with $\boldsymbol{M}$ as a Levi subgroup and $\boldsymbol{N} \subset \boldsymbol{U}$ where $\boldsymbol{U}$ is the unipotent radical of $\boldsymbol{B}$. Let $\boldsymbol{U_M} = \boldsymbol{U} \cap \boldsymbol{M}$. Then

$$\tau = \mathrm{Ind}_{TU_M}^{M} \mu$$

is a tempered representation of M which may not be irreducible. Write

$$\tau = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \tau_i$$

where τ_i are irreducible. By replacing ν , τ_i and $\boldsymbol{M}$ with a $W(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{A})$ conjugate, we may assume that

$$I(\nu, \tau_i) = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \tau_i \otimes \nu$$

is in the Langlands setting. The elements of the L-packet are then the uniquie Langlands quotients $J(\nu, \tau_i)$ of each $I(\nu, \tau_i)$ $1 \le i \le n$. i.e.,

$$\mathbf{\Pi}(\varphi_\lambda) = \{J(\nu, \tau_i) | 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

Lemma 13. *There is a natural embedding* $\Omega_M^{tor} \hookrightarrow \Omega_G^{tor}$.

Proof. We have $\Omega_M \cong Y/\mathbb{Z}E'$ and $\Omega_G \cong Y/\mathbb{Z}E$. So we have a natural surjection $Pr : \Omega_M \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_G$. Let $\bar{\chi} \in \Omega_M^{tor}$ such that $Pr(\bar{\chi}) = 0$. Then we can choose a representative $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}E$ of $\bar{\chi}$ such that $n\chi \in \mathbb{Z}E'$ for some integer n. Write

$$\chi = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i.$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_i \in E$ and I is an indexing set for E. Write

$$n\chi = \sum_{j \in J} b_j \alpha_j$$

where $J \subset I$ is an indexing set for E'. Then

$$n \sum_{i \in I \smallsetminus J} a_i \alpha_i + \sum_{j \in J} (n a_j - b_j) \alpha_j = 0.$$

This implies $a_i = 0$ for $i \in I \smallsetminus J$ and therefor $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}E'$, i.e., $\bar{\chi} = 0$. Thus, the projection Pr induces an embedding $\Omega_M^{tor} \hookrightarrow \Omega_G^{tor}$. □

By the lemma, we have a surjection $\mathscr{L}\colon \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_G} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_M}$ and therefore

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\Omega^{tor}_G} \arrow[rr, two heads] \arrow[dr] & & \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_M} \arrow[dl, two heads] \\
& \hat{R}_\varphi. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.15}$$

Let K_M be a hyperspecial subgroup of M such that $\tau_\rho^{K_M} \neq 0$, $\rho \in \hat{R}_\varphi$ and let K_G be a hyperspecial subgroup containing some conjugate of K_M.

Claim 14. $I(\nu, \tau_\rho)^{K_G} \neq 0$.

Proof. Denote by U_ρ the space realizing τ_ρ and let u be a K_M fixed vector in U_ρ. Let $f : \boldsymbol{G} \to U_\rho$ be the function defined by

$$f(mnk) = \delta^{1/2}(m)\tau_\rho(m)u$$

where $m \in M$, $n \in N$ and $k \in K_G$. Then f is well defined and is fixed by K_G. □

Lemma 15. $J(\nu, \tau_\rho)^{K_G} \neq 0$.

Proof. The Langlangs quotient $J(\nu, \tau_\rho)$ is the image of an intertwining operator

$$A(\nu, \tau_\rho, w)\colon I(\nu, \tau_\rho) \to I(w\nu, w\tau_\rho)$$

for some $w \in W$. To show that $J(\nu, \tau_\rho)^{K_G} \neq 0$, it suffices to show that the image of a K_G spherical vector in $I(\lambda)$ under

$$A(\lambda, w)\colon I(\lambda) \to I(w\lambda)$$

is non-zero. This follows from the calculation done in [7, Theorem-1]. □

In the notations as above, let $\pi_\rho = J(\nu, \tau_\rho)$. Let $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_G}$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \widehat{\Omega^{tor}_M}$ be its image under $\mathscr{L} : \omega \mapsto \bar{\omega}$. Then it follows from lemma 15 and the diagram 6.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\omega\cdot\rho}^{\omega\cdot[K_G]} \neq 0 \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \tau_{\bar{\omega}\cdot\rho}^{\bar{\omega}\cdot[K_M]} \neq 0 \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad \tau_\rho^{[K_M]} \neq 0 \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \quad \pi_\rho^{[K_G]} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the main theorem.

7. Proof of Proposition 7 and 10

7.1. **Affine Root Structure.** Let the notations be as in sec. 6.2. Further, $\boldsymbol{G}$ is semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and unramified. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a maximal k-split torus of contained in $\boldsymbol{T}$. Let $\boldsymbol{N} = N_{\boldsymbol{G}}\boldsymbol{A}$ be the normalizer of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and let

W be the relative Weyl group of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Then with the notations in sec. 6.2, S is the set of relative roots. Bruhat and Tits define *affine roots* Σ associated to G. In the split case or in the case that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is unramified and of type ${}^2A_{2n-1}(n \geqslant 3)$ or ${}^2D_{n+1}(n \geq 2)$,

$$\Sigma = \{a + n | a \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Affine roots can be regarded as affine linear functions $x \mapsto a(x) + n$ on the vector space $V({}^\sigma\Psi)$. Let h_α be the hyperplane on which $\alpha \in \Sigma$ vanishes. Let s_α be the reflection in the hyperplane h_α. The affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}$ is generated by the reflections s_α, $\alpha \in \Sigma$. For $\alpha \in \Sigma$, let t_α be the translation $s_\alpha s_{\alpha+1}$. There exists a canonical surjection $\nu : N \to \tilde{W}$. Let H be the kernel of ν.

There exists a collection of compact unipotent subgroups U_α of G, $\alpha \in \Sigma$, satisfying the following properties (see [9, page 27]):

(1) $xU_\alpha x^{-1} = U_{\nu(x)\alpha}$ for $x \in N$, $\alpha \in \Sigma$.
(2) $U_\alpha \subsetneq U_{\alpha-1}$, $q_\alpha = (U_{\alpha-1} : U_\alpha)$ is finite and $\bigcap\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} U_{\alpha+k} = \{1\}$.
(3) If $\beta = -\alpha + r$, $r \gneq 0$, then $< U_\alpha, U_\beta, H >= U_\alpha H U_\beta$.
(4) $< U_\alpha, U_\beta, H >= U_\alpha \nu^{-1}(s_\alpha) U_\alpha \cup U_\alpha H U_{-\alpha+1}$.
(5) If h_α and h_β are not parallel, i.e., if $\beta \neq \pm\alpha + r$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the commutator group $[U_\alpha, U_\beta]$ is contained in $< U_{r\alpha+s\beta} | r\alpha + s\beta \in \Sigma,\ r, s \geq 0 >$.
(6) Let S^+ and S^- denote the positive and negative roots respectively in S. For each $\alpha \in S$, let $U_{(\alpha)} = \bigcup\limits_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} U_{\alpha+r}$. Let $U^+ =< U_{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in S^+ >$ and $U^- =< U_{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in S^- >$. Then $U^+ \cap MU^- = \{1\}$.
(7) $G =< N, U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Sigma >$.

Lemma 16. [7, Lemma] *Let α be a positive simple root and let $f_{K,\lambda} \in I(\lambda)$ be the K-fixed vector with $f_{K,\lambda}(1) = 1$. Then $\mathscr{A}(s_\alpha, \lambda) f_{K,\lambda} = f_{K,s_\alpha\lambda}$ if $U_{(\alpha)} \cap K = U_\alpha$ and $\mathscr{A}(s_\alpha, \lambda) f_{K,\lambda} = \lambda(t_\alpha)^{-1} f_{K,s_\alpha\lambda}$ if $U_{(\alpha)} \cap K = U_{\alpha-1}$ and $q_{\alpha/2} = 1$.*

7.2. **More about the group Ω.** Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and unramified. Let ${}^\sigma\Psi = (Y, S, E, \check{Y}, \check{S}, \check{E})$ be the based root datum defined in sec. 6.2. W is the relative Weyl group. Let $\check{E} = \{\check{\beta}_1, \dots, \check{\beta}_n\}$ where $\check{\beta}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are the simple relative co-roots. Let $\check{\check{\beta}}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \check{\beta}_i$ be the highest co-root. Put $\check{\beta}_0 = 1 - \check{\check{\beta}}_0$. Let $h = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i + 1$ be the coxeter number and put $\delta_\circ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta\in S^+} \beta$. Recall that $C_\sigma = C({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$ was the alcove in the apartment $A = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\{x \in A | \check{\beta}_0(x) \geq 0, \dots, \check{\beta}_n(x) \geq 0\}$. Put $n_0 = 1$ and give the weight n_i to the i^{th} vertex of C_σ. Then $c_\circ = h^{-1}\delta_\circ$ is the (weighted) barycenter of C_σ. For any $w \in W$, let $\tilde{w}$ be the affine map $x \in A \mapsto w(x - c_\circ) + c_\circ$. It is the unique affine map fixing $c_\circ$ with tangent part w. Denote by Q the lattice generated by S.

Lemma 17. [4, Lemma 6.2] *For any $w \in W$, the following are equivalent :*

(1) $\tilde{w} \in W \ltimes Y$.
(2) $\tilde{w} C_\sigma = C_\sigma$.
(3) $\tilde{w}(\check{E}_\circ) = \check{E}_\circ$ *where* $\check{E}_\circ = \check{E} \cup \{\check{\beta}_0\}$.
(4) $w(\check{E} \cup \{-\check{\check{\beta}}_0\}) = \check{E} \cup \{-\check{\check{\beta}}_0\}$.

Then $\Omega_\sigma = \Omega({}^\sigma\check{\Psi})$ is precisely the set of those $w \in W$ satisfying these conditions and $w \mapsto \tilde{w}(\check{\beta}_0)$ is a bijection between Ω_σ and $\{\check{\beta}_i \in \check{E}_\circ | n_i = 1\}$. There is an isomorphism $\iota : \Omega_\sigma \to Y/Q$ defined by any of the following ways :

(a) $\iota(w) = (1 - w)h^{-1}\delta + Q$.
(b) *$\iota(w)$ is the image of $\tilde{w}$ under $W \ltimes Y \to (W \ltimes Y)/(W \ltimes Q) = Y/Q$.*
(c) *If $w \neq 0$ and $\tilde{w}(\check{\beta}_0) = \check{\beta}_i$, $\iota(w)$ is the i^{th} fundamental weight ω_i modulo Q. For $w = 1$, $\iota(w) = 0$.*

7.2. **More about the group Ω.** Let the notations be as in sec. 6.2. Further, $\boldsymbol{G}$ is semisimple, almost simple, simply connected and unramified. Let K_0 be a hyperspecial subgroup satisfying $\pi_0^{K_0} \neq 0$. Then K_0 determines the choice of an origin in the Bruhat-Tits building of G. For $K_\omega \in \omega \cdot [K_0]$, let $f_{\omega,\lambda} \in I(\lambda)^{K_\omega}$ be the spherical vector such that $f_{\omega,\lambda}(1) = 1$. For $w \in W$, let $c_\lambda(\omega, w)$ be the coefficient such that $\mathscr{A}(w,\lambda) f_{\omega,\lambda} = c_\lambda(\omega, w) f_{\omega, w\cdot\lambda}$. Then $c_\lambda(\omega, w)$ satisfies the co-cycle relation,

$$c_\lambda(\omega, w_1 w_2) = c_{w_2\cdot\lambda}(\omega, w_1) \cdot c_\lambda(\omega, w_2). \tag{7.1}$$

Let x_λ denote the point in $C(\check{\Psi})$ associated to λ. Define $\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $c_\lambda(\omega, w) = e^{-2\pi i \tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w)}$. Then $\tilde{c}(\omega, w)$ satisfies the cocycle relation:

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w_1 w_2) = \tilde{c}_{w_2\cdot\lambda}(\omega, w_1) + \tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w_2). \tag{7.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{x | x$ is a hyperspecial vertex in $\overline{C({}^\sigma\Psi)}\}$. Then the representatives of the conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups can be chosen to be $\{G_x | x \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Also, the action of $\hat{\Omega}_\sigma$ on the conjugacy classes of hyperspecial subgroups gives a bijection $\hat{\Omega}_\sigma \to \mathcal{C}$. We use this bijection to identify $\hat{\Omega}_\sigma$ with $\mathcal{C}$ whenever there is no ambiguity. By lemma 16, we have

$$\mathscr{A}(s_\alpha, \lambda) f_{\omega,\lambda} \quad = \quad \begin{cases} \lambda(t_\alpha)^{-1} f_{\omega, s_\alpha\lambda} & \alpha(\omega) = 1 \\ 1 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$c_\lambda(\omega, s_\alpha) = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi i (x_\lambda, \check{\alpha})} & \alpha(\omega) = 1 \\ 1 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $(x_\lambda, \check{\alpha})$ denotes $\check{\alpha}(x_\lambda)$. Then

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, s_\alpha) = \begin{cases} (x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}) & \alpha(\omega) = 1 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $r \in R_\lambda$, we calculate $\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, r)$ by using lemma 16 and show that $\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, r) = \rho_\omega(r)$, thus proving the proposition.

When $\boldsymbol{G}$ is split, Ω_σ is non-trivial for the cases A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, E_6 and E_7. When $\boldsymbol{G}$ is unramified but not split, Ω_σ is non-trivial only for the cases ${}^2A_{2n-1}(n \geq 3)$ and ${}^2D_{n+1}(n \geq 2)$ [11, table-1, page 29]. The method of calculation of $c_\lambda(\omega, w)$ is the same in all cases. We illustrate the cases below:

7.2.2. *Type A_n.* Let $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+1}\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We realize A_n as a root system in the vector space $\mathbb{E}$ where

$$\mathbb{E} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i \epsilon_i | c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum c_i = 0\}.$$

Then $\Phi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j | i \neq j, 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is the set of roots. Let $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ is a fundamental system in Φ. The highest root is $\beta = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{n+1}$. The fundamental weights are $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, where

$$x_k = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \ldots \epsilon_k - \frac{k}{n+1}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \ldots + \epsilon_{n+1}).$$

Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then $w_0 \cdot \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $w_0 \cdot \alpha_n = -\beta$. Write s_i for the reflection about the hyperplace determined by the root α_i. As a product of reflections, $w_0 = (12 \ldots n+1) = s_1 s_2 \ldots s_n$.Write

$$\begin{aligned} x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \\ &= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where $b_i = \frac{1}{(n+1)}(-a_1 - 2a_2 - \ldots - (i-1)a_{i-1} + (n+1-i)a_i + \ldots + (n+1-k)a_k + \ldots + na_n)$.

If $r \in R_\lambda$, then $r = w_0^d$ for some integer d. Then by the cocycle relation 7.2, we get

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w_0^d) = \tilde{c}_{w_0^{d-1}\lambda}(\omega, w_0) + \tilde{c}_{w_0^{d-2}\lambda}(\omega, w_0) + \ldots + \tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega, w_0).$$

Let ω_i be the i^{th} fundamental co-weight, i.e., $\alpha_j(\omega_i) = \delta_{ij}$. Then it follows

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega_i, w_0^d) = (b_i - b_{n+1}) + (b_{i-1} - b_n) + \ldots. \tag{7.3}$$

Since $\tilde{w_0}^d \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, it follows that $a_i = a_j$ iff $i \equiv j \mod d$. From this and the last equation, it follow that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega_i, w_0^d) &= (a_0 + \ldots + a_{d-1})(n+1-i)\\ &= \frac{(n+1-i)d}{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows because $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i = 1$. Now $\tilde{w_0}^d \cdot x_0 = x_d$ and

$$(\omega_i, x_d) = \frac{(n+1-i)d}{n+1}.$$

This prooves the result for type A_n.

7.2.3. *Type B_n.* The dual root sysyem of B_n is C_n. Let $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then B_n root system is given by $\Phi = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j\} \cup \{\pm\epsilon_i\}$. The co-roots are $\check{\Phi} = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j\} \cup \{\pm 2\epsilon_i\}$. Let $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$. The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ is a set of simple roots in Φ. The corresponding simple co-roots are $\check{\Delta} = \{\check{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \check{\alpha}_n\}$ where $\check{\alpha_i} = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\check{\alpha_n} = 2\alpha_n$. The highest co-root is $\check{\beta} = 2(\check{\alpha}_1 + \cdots + \check{\alpha}_{n-1}) + \check{\alpha}_n$. The fundamental weigts are $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ where

$$\begin{gathered}x_k = \epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1\\ x_n = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n).\end{gathered}$$

Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_i = \check{\alpha}_{n-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_n = -\check{\beta}$. Thus for $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $w_0 \cdot y = (-y_n, -y_{n-1}, \ldots, -y_1)$. As a product of reflections, $w_0 = \ldots q s_{n-2} s_{n-1} q s_{n-1} q q$ where $q = s_n \ldots s_1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\\ &= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)\end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where $b_i = a_i + \ldots + a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}a_n$. Let ω_1 be the first fundamental co-weight. Then $\omega_1 = \epsilon_1$. Applying lemma 16 to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0)$ we get,

$$\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0) = (h, \check{\alpha}_1)$$

where $h = x_\lambda + q \cdot x_\lambda + s_{n-1} q q \cdot x_\lambda + \ldots$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0) &= (h, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)\\ &= b_1 + b_n\\ &= a_1 + \ldots + a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}a_n + \frac{1}{2}a_n\\ &= a_1 + \ldots + a_n. \qquad (7.4)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{w_0} \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, it follows that $a_i = a_{n-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_0 = a_n$. From this and the relation

$$a_0 + 2(a_1 + \ldots + a_{n-1}) + a_n = 1$$

it follows that $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also $(\omega_1, x_n) = \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the case of B_n.

7.2.4. *Type C_n.* Then C_n root system is given by $\Phi = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j\} \cup \{\pm 2\epsilon_i\}$. Let $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n = 2\epsilon_n$. The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ is a set of simple roots in Φ. The corresponding simple co-roots are $\check{\Delta} = \{\check{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \check{\alpha}_n\}$ where $\check{\alpha_i} = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\check{\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n$. The highest co-root is $\check{\beta} = \check{\alpha}_1 + 2(\check{\alpha}_2 + \cdots + \check{\alpha}_n) = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. The fundamental weigts are $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ where

$$x_k = \epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_i = \check{\alpha}_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_1 = -\check{\beta}$.

Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_i = \check{\alpha}_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $w_0 \cdot \check{\alpha}_1 = -\check{\beta}$. Thus for $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $w_0 \cdot y = (-y_{1,}y_2, \ldots, y_n)$. As a product of reflections, $w_0 = s_1 \ldots s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} \ldots s_1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \\ &= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n). \end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where $b_i = a_i + \ldots + a_{n-1} + a_n$. Let ω_n be the n^{th} fundamental co-weight. Then $\omega_n = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)$. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_n, w_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}(\omega_n, w_0) &= (s_{n-1} \ldots s_1 \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_n) \\ &= a_1 + \ldots + a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{w_0} \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, it follows that $a_i = a_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $a_0 = a_1$. From this and the relation

$$a_0 + a_1 + 2(a_2 + \ldots + a_n) = 1$$

it follow that $\tilde{c}(\omega_n, w_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also $(\omega_n, x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the case of C_n.

7.2.5. *Type D_n.*

7.2.6. *n is odd.* If $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then the root system of D_n is given by $\Phi = \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j\}$. Let $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ is a set of simple roots in Φ. We have $\check{\Phi} = \Phi$ and $\check{\Delta} = \Delta$. The highest root is $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2(\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n =$

$\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. The fundamental weights are

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_k \text{ for } 1 \le k \le n-2 \\ x_{n-1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n) \\ x_n &= \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \ldots + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then $w_0 \cdot \alpha_i = \alpha_{n-i}$ for $2 \le i \le n-2$, $w_0 \cdot \alpha_1 = \alpha_{n-1}$, $w_0 \cdot \alpha_{n-1} = -\beta$, $w_0 \cdot (-\beta) = \alpha_n$ and $w_0 \cdot \alpha_n = \alpha_1$. Thus for $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $w_0 \cdot y = (y_n, -y_{n-1}, -y_{n-2}, \ldots, -y_1)$. As a product of reflections $w_0 = \ldots s_4 \ldots s_{n-2} s_n s_3 \ldots s_{n-1} s_2 \ldots s_{n-2} s_n s_1 \ldots s_{n-1}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \\ &= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where $b_i = a_i + \ldots + a_{n-2} + \frac{a_{n-1}+a_n}{2}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $b_n = \frac{-a_{n-1}+a_n}{2}$. The first fundamental co-weight $\omega_1 = x_1$. Applying lemma 16 to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0) &= (s_2 \ldots s_{n-1} \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_1) \\ &= b_1 - b_n \\ & a_1 + \ldots + a_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{w_0} \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, it follows that $a_i = a_{n-i}$ for $2 \le i \le n-2$ and $a_0 = a_1 = a_{n-1} = a_n$. From this and the relation

$$a_0 + a_1 + 2(a_2 + \ldots + a_{n-2}) + a_{n-1} + a_n = 1 \tag{7.5}$$

it follow that $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also $(\omega_1, x_n) = \frac{1}{2}$.

To compute $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0^2)$ and $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_0^3)$ we can use the co-cycle relation 7.2.

For $r = w_0^2 \in R_\lambda$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0^2) &= \tilde{c}_\lambda(\omega_1, w_0) + \tilde{c}_{w_0 \cdot \lambda}(\omega_1, w_0) \\ &= b_1 - b_n + b_n + b_1 \\ &= 2b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\tilde{w_0}^2 \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, then it follows $a_0 = a_1$ and $a_{n-1} = a_n$. From this and the relation 7.5 we get $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and therefore $\tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0^2) = 1$. Also $(\omega_1, x_1) = 1$.

For $r = w_0^3 \in R_\lambda$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0^3) &= \tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0^2) + \tilde{c}_{w_0^2\cdot\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0) \\ &= 2b_1 + b_n - b_1 \\ &= b_n + b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\tilde{w_0}^3 \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, then it follows $a_0 = a_{n-1} = a_{n-1} = a_n$ and $a_i = a_{n-i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. From this and the relation 7.5 we get $b_1 + b_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and therefore $\tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w_0^3) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also $(\omega_{n-1}, x_1) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The calculation for other hyperspecial vertices follows by the same method. This completes the case of D_n when n is odd.

7.2.7. *n is even.* In this case $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ is not cyclic. The non-trivial automorphisms of the extended Dynkin diagram are:

- $w_1 : \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta \to \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_n, \\ \alpha_1 &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_{n-1}, \\ \alpha_i &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

- $w_2 : \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta \to \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_1, \\ \alpha_{n-1} &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_n, \\ \alpha_i &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

- $w_3 : \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta \to \{-\beta\} \cup \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_{n-1}, \\ \alpha_1 &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_n, \\ \alpha_i &\longleftrightarrow \alpha_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

For the fundamental weight ω_1, we calculate $\tilde{c_\lambda}(\omega_1, w)$ for each $w \in \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and verify our result.

$w_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the map $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \longmapsto (-y_n, -y_{n-1}, \ldots, -y_1)$. As a product of reflections $w_1 = \cdots s_4 \cdots s_{n-1} s_3 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n$. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_1) &= (s_2 \ldots s_{n-2} s_n \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_1) \\ &= ((b_1, -b_n, b_2, \ldots, b_{n-2}, -b_{n-1}), \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \\ &= b_1 + b_n \\ &= a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_1 \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$, it follows $a_0 = a_n$ and $a_1 = a_{n-1}$. From this and the relation 7.5, we get that $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also, $(w_1, x_n) = \frac{1}{2}$.

$w_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the map $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \longmapsto (-y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, -y_n)$. As a product of reflections $w_2 = s_1 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_2)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_2) &= (x_\lambda + s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_1) \\ &= ((b_1, \ldots, b_n) + (b_2, -b_1, b_3, \ldots, b_{n-1}, -b_n), \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \\ &= 2b_1.\end{aligned}$$

$w_2 \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ implies $a_0 = a_1$ and $a_{n-1} = a_n$. From this and the relation 7.5, we get that $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_2) = 1$. Also, $(w_1, x_1) = 1$.

$w_3 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the map $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \longmapsto (y_n, -y_{n-1}, \ldots, y_1)$. As a product of reflections $w_3 = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 s_n \cdots s_n s_4 \cdots s_{n-1} s_3 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n s_2 \cdots s_{n-1}$. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_3)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_3) &= (s_n \cdots s_n s_4 \cdots s_{n-1} s_3 \cdots s_{n-2} s_n s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_1) \\ &= ((b_1, b_n, -b_{n-1}, -b_{n-2}, \ldots, -b_2), \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \\ &= b_1 - b_n \\ &= a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_3 \cdot x_\lambda = x_\lambda$ implies $a_0 = a_{n-1}$ and $a_1 = a_n$. From this and the relation 7.5, we get that $\tilde{c}(\omega_1, w_3) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also, $(w_1, x_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The calculation for other hyperspecial vertices follows by the same method. This completes the case of D_n when n is even.

7.2.8. *Type* E_6. E_6 can be realized as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^8$. A set of simple roots would then be $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$ where $\alpha_1 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, $\alpha_2 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\alpha_3 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\alpha_4 = (0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$,

$\alpha_5 = (0,0,-1,1,0,0,0,0)$, $\alpha_6 = (0,0,0,-1,1,0,0,0)$. The fundamental weights are

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= (0,0,0,0,0,-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3},\frac{2}{3}) \\
x_2 &= (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}) \\
x_3 &= (-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{5}{6},-\frac{5}{6},\frac{5}{6}) \\
x_4 &= (0,0,1,1,1,-1,-1,1) \\
x_5 &= (0,0,0,1,1,-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3},\frac{2}{3}) \\
x_6 &= (0,0,0,0,1,-\frac{1}{3},-\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let β denote the highest root. Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then under the action of w_0 we have $-\beta \mapsto \alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha_6 \mapsto -\beta$ and $\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_3 \mapsto \alpha_5 \mapsto \alpha_2$. As a product of reflections, $w_0 = s_1 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_2 s_4 s_5 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_5 s_6$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i x_i \\
&= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_8)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where b_i denote the i^{th} co-ordinate in the standard basis. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_6, w_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}(\omega_6, w_0) &= (x_\lambda + s_2 s_4 s_5 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_5 s_6 \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_6) \\
&= 2b_5 \\
&= a_2 + a_3 + 2(a_4 + a_5 + a_6).
\end{aligned}$$

From the relation

$$a_0 + a_1 + a_6 + 2(a_2 + a_3 + a_5) + 3a_4 = 1 \tag{7.6}$$

and the relations

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_6 \tag{7.7}$$

$$a_2 = a_3 = a_5 \tag{7.8}$$

we get that $\tilde{c}(\omega_6, w_0) = \frac{2}{3}$. Also $(\omega_6, x_1) = \frac{2}{3}$.

The calculation for the other hyperspecial vertex is very similar.

7.2.9. *Type E_7.* E_7 can be realized as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^8$. A set of simple roots would then be $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6\}$ where $\alpha_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $\alpha_2 = (1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$, $\alpha_3 = (-1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$, $\alpha_4 = (0,-1,1,0,0,0,0,0)$, $\alpha_5 =$

$(0,0,-1,1,0,0,0,0)$, $\alpha_6 = (0,0,0,-1,1,0,0,0)$ and $\alpha_7 = (0,0,0,0,-1,1,0,0)$. The fundamental weights are

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,1), \\ x_2 &= (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-1,1), \\ x_3 &= (-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}), \\ x_4 &= (0,0,1,1,1,1,-2,2), \\ x_5 &= (0,0,0,1,1,1,-\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}), \\ x_6 &= (0,0,0,0,1,1,-1,1), \\ x_7 &= (0,0,0,0,0,1,-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let β denote the highest root. Let $\tilde{w_0}$ be the generator of the $\Omega(\check{\Psi})$ and let w_0 be the vector part. Then w_0 is of order two and it sends $-\beta \mapsto \alpha_7$, $\alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha_6$, $\alpha_3 \mapsto \alpha_5$ and it fixes α_2 and α_4. As a product of reflections $w_0 = s_7s_6s_5s_4s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_5s_4s_2s_6s_5s_4s_3s_1s_7s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} x_\lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i x_i \\ &= (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_8) \end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where b_i denote the i^{th} co-ordinate in the standard basis. Applying the lemma to calculate $\tilde{c}(\omega_7, w_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}(\omega_7, w_0) &= (x_\lambda + s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7 \cdot x_\lambda + \\ &\quad s_6s_5s_4s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_5s_4s_2s_6s_5s_4s_3s_1s_7s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7 \cdot x_\lambda, \check{\alpha}_7) \\ &= 2b_6 - b_7 + b_8. \end{aligned}$$

From the relations

$$a_0 + 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 4a_4 + 3a_5 + 2a_6 + a_7 = 1, \tag{7.9}$$

$$a_0 = a_7;\ a_1 = a_6;\ a_3 = a_5 \tag{7.10}$$

we get that $\tilde{c}(\omega_7, w_0) = \frac{3}{2}$. Also $(\omega_7, x_7) = \frac{3}{2}$. This completes the case of E_7.

7.2.10. *Types* ${}^2A_{2n-1} (n \geq 3)$ *and* ${}^2D_{n+1} (n \geq 2)$. The relative root system for ${}^2A_{2n-1} (n \geq 3)$ is of type B_n and that ${}^2D_{n+1} (n \geq 2)$ is of type C_n. So the calculations in these cases are the same as in the unramified case.

This completes the proof of the Proposition 7 and 10.

References

[1] A. Borel. Automorphic L-functions. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 27–61. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[2] Roger W. Carter. *Finite groups of Lie type*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1985. Conjugacy classes and complex characters, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[3] P. Cartier. Representations of p-adic groups: a survey. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 111–155. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[4] Jun Yu Jiu-Kang Yu, Jinpend An. On the dimention data of a subgroup (preprint).

[5] C. David Keys. L-indistinguishability and R-groups for quasisplit groups: unitary groups in even dimension. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 20(1):31–64, 1987.

[6] C. David Keys and Freydoon Shahidi. Artin L-functions and normalization of intertwining operators. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 21(1):67–89, 1988.

[7] D. Keys. On the decomposition of reducible principal series representations of p-adic Chevalley groups. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 101:351–386, 1982.

[8] D. Keys. Reducibility of unramified unitary principal series representations of p-adic groups and class-1 representations. *Math. Ann.*, 260(4):397–402, 1982.

[9] I. G. Macdonald. *Spherical functions on a group of p-adic type*. Ramanujan Institute, Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics,University of Madras, Madras, 1971. Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, No. 2.

[10] I. G. Macdonald. *Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, volume 157 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[11] Mark Reeder. Torsion automorphisms of simple Lie algebras. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 56(1-2):3–47, 2010.

[12] Freydoon Shahidi. Arthur packets and the Ramanujan conjecture. *Kyoto J. Math*, 51:1–23, 2011.

[13] T. A. Springer. Reductive groups. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–27. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[14] J. Tits. Reductive groups over local fields. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 29–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[15] Jiu-Kang Yu. A note on the relative Root Datum of quasi-split Groups (preprint).

E-mail address: `mmishra@math.purdue.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University 150 N. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067